

PHASE ACTIVITIES

PHASE 1: DEVELOPMENT AND COMPLETENESS

<p>Development, Completion & Alignment of PIPs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Designed assessment process for completion of PIPs • Developed governance and oversight bodies • Identified gaps in PIPs through an assessment of submitted PIPs • Provided workshop and informational resources/tools to program areas as they develop PIPs • Made recommendations on course of action for PIPs 	<p>Creation of Common PIPs Language</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Classify knowledge and jargon in a way that identifies the context of an indicator while being versatile enough to be used across programs
--	---

PHASE 2: QUALITY FRAMEWORK & CLUSTERING

<p>Community of Practice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cluster engagement brainstorm sessions • Online communities 	<p>Quality Assessment; Data Analytics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create monitoring and feedback approach • Biweekly consultation with TBS • Development of data quality methodology for indicators • Assessment of meaningfulness and relevance 	<p>Clustering & Pilots</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clustering of similar problems and activities to address common challenges • Pilots, e.g., Labour, Yes. Evaluability Assessment/Evaluation Strategies review
--	--	---

DISTINGUISHING CHARACTERISTICS

Ad-Hoc (Elementary/Static)

- Moving towards compliance with new requirements for Results-Based reporting
- Compliance is not yet consistent, standardized, or well-documented
- Processes typically lack discipline
- Basic level of PIPs development where quality is defined as completeness and alignment
- Some programs having improvised procedures and poorly understood processes
- Moving towards standardization/harmonization of processes through methodology to complete PIPs jointly developed by CPMD and Evaluation
- Program begin to work in Clusters

Initial (Conventional/Reactive)

- Aware of need for more formal approach to PIPs quality development
- Processes for PIPs reporting are structured but incompletely put into practice
- Processes still require more discipline and rigor
- RBM processes standardized and awareness included in organizational training
- Standardized procedures provide senior levels with overviews and reports
- Formalization is ongoing but not fully accepted through organization
- Data sources sufficiently robust to demonstrate impact or include appropriate plan to do so



RESULTS

Tools Produced: PIPs Grid, Checklist, Guide on Performance Information Profiles, Training and Mentoring Sessions, Workshops, Reference Tools

Results:

Tools Produced: Online Community, Support Materials, Guide on Quality, Quality Checklist, Development of Maturity Model

Results:

WORKING GROUPS

<p>DG Advisory Committee</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Looks at PIPs assessments • Advises CRDO 	<p>PIPs Working Group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Works with program to develop and complete PIPs
---	--

<p>PIPs Monitoring Subgroup</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assesses PIPs 	<p>PIPs Quality Subgroup</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plans work to ensure PIPs are complete • Performs quality assessments
--	--

PHASE 2B: CLUSTERING AND RISK REGISTRY

PHASE ACTIVITIES

CLUSTERING

- Horizontal engagement - Groups will work together in clusters to benefit from each other's experience
- Work on providing more complete performance systems, inclusive planning, link to DRF and PIPs

RISK REGISTRY

- Begin to formulate the foundations for cost-effective measurement, enhanced reporting and risk-based evaluations.

DISTINGUISHING CHARACTERISTICS

REPEATABLE

- Moving towards greater alignment with organization's results based decision-making, management and reporting processes
- PIPs information is structured in a manner that can easily be cascaded throughout the organization for information collection and reporting
- Risk indicators well integrated and reported against
- Integrated resource management including linkages to operational and program plans

RESULTS

Tools Produced: PIPs Grid, Checklist, Guide on Performance Information Profiles, Training and Mentoring Sessions, Workshops, Reference Tools

Results:

WORKING GROUP

- **Quality Working Group**



